Context-dependent genetic benefits of polyandry in a marine hermaphrodite

Dustin J. Marshall¹,* and Jonathan P. Evans²

¹School of Integrative Biology, Centre for Marine Studies, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Queensland 4072, Australia
²Centre for Evolutionary Biology, School of Animal Biology, The University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Western Australia 6009, Australia
*Author for correspondence (d.marshall1@uq.edu.au).

Numerous studies emphasize the potential indirect (genetic) benefits of polyandry in animals with resource-free mating systems. In this paper, we examine the potential for these benefits to fuel sexual selection and polyandry in the hermaphroditic ascidian Pyura stolonifera. Individuals were designated either sire (sperm producers) or dam (egg producers) at random and crossed in a North Carolina II breeding design to produce both paternal and maternal half siblings for our quantitative genetic analysis. We then partitioned the phenotypic variance in fertilization and hatching rates into additive and non-additive variance components. We found significant additive variance attributable to sire and dam effects at fertilization and hatching, suggesting the potential for selection to favour individuals carrying intrinsically ‘good genes’ for these traits. In separate analyses involving monandrous and polyandrous clutches, we found that both treatments were elevated under polyandry, but the difference in hatching rates was due entirely to the difference in fertilization rates between treatments. When the hatching rates were standardized to account for variance at fertilization, there was no overall net benefit of polyandry for this trait. Despite this, we found that hatching success declined with increasing embryo densities, and that the slope of this decline was significantly greater in monandrous than polyandrous clutches. Hence, selection on embryo viability may still favour polyandry under restricted environmental conditions. Nevertheless, our results caution against interpreting elevated hatching success as an indirect genetic benefit of polyandry when variance in fertilization is not controlled.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Evolutionary biologists have long sought adaptive explanations to explain polyandry, when females mate with two or more males within a single reproductive episode (Jennions & Petrie 2000; Simmons 2005). Explaining polyandry in species where there are no obvious direct benefits at mating has proved especially problematic, although in these systems polyandry is thought to result in the procurement of genetic benefits that indirectly increase female fitness via the enhanced genetic quality of their progeny (Jennions & Petrie 2000). Such benefits may arise due to the intrinsic genetic quality of males (additive effects) or beneficial interactions between parental haplotypes (non-additive effects, Neff & Pitcher 2005). Providing unequivocal support for these mechanisms and demonstrating their potential role in the evolution of polyandry has been a key challenge for research on sexual selection (Jennions & Petrie 2000; Simmons 2005).

In this paper, we examine the potential for polyandry and post-mating sexual selection to target genetically compatible and/or intrinsically superior individuals in Pyura stolonifera, a common solitary ascidian found on intertidal rocky shores along the east coast of Australia. Using a cross-classified breeding design (Lynch & Walsh 1998) and split-clutch in vitro fertilization (Evans & Marshall 2005; Marshall & Evans 2005), we partitioned phenotypic sources of variance in fertilization and offspring viability among additive and non-additive effects. Similar designs have recently provided powerful tests of the additive and non-additive benefits of mating with particular males (Wedekind et al. 2001; Pitcher & Neff 2006; Iry 2007). We combined our quantitative genetic analysis with a polyandry treatment to identify potential fitness benefits of polyandry. Finally, we measured these traits in monandrous and polyandrous clutches across a range of embryo densities to determine whether extrinsic environmental effects have the potential to mediate the benefits of polyandry in this system.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Pyura stolonifera is a broadcast spawning marine hermaphrodite that releases eggs and sperm when partly submerged at low tide (Marshall 2002). Although sperm and eggs are released simultaneously, they are not self-fertile (Marshall 2002). Population densities are highly variable in natural populations, which probably explain the high variability in fertilization rates in natural spawnings (ranging from 0 to 90%; Marshall 2002), as fertilization success strongly depends on local sperm concentrations. The density at which embryos develop is also highly variable, with some eggs remaining in a viscous matrix after spawning and others being quickly washed into the water column by incoming waves (Marshall 2002). The larval stage is short (2–4 hours) and larvae show strong settlement preferences for conspecific adults (Marsden et al. 2002).

We collected reproductively mature P. stolonifera from the intertidal zone at Bare Island, Botany Bay, Sydney, Australia (151° 23’ E, 39° 99’ S). Adults were maintained in aquaria for no more than 2 days before their gametes were used for in vitro fertilization (IVF). We used the methods described by Marshall and colleagues to collect gametes (Marshall et al. 2000, 2002). Although P. stolonifera is a simultaneous hermaphrodite, we collected gametes of only one type from each individual so that individuals were treated as either ‘male’ or ‘female’. In all IVF trials, sperm were diluted to a constant concentration of 10⁷ sperm per mL⁻¹, which results in a moderate fertilization rates in P. stolonifera (Marshall et al. 2000).

We used a North Carolina II breeding design in conjunction with an experimental polyandry treatment. Briefly, we conducted two separate experimental runs (blocks; Lynch & Walsh 1998). The first consisted of five males and eight females, while the second consisted of six males and five females. For each block, we also included a polyandry treatment, where each female’s eggs were fertilized with the combined male ejaculates within each block (for detailed methods, see electronic supplementary material). Two replicate crosses were performed in separate vials for each male–female combination. Fertilization and hatching rates in each cross were estimated across approximately 100 embryos. Overall, for both the factorial crosses and the polyandry treatments, we examined fertilization and hatching rates of 16 600 eggs.

Fertilization was assessed 2 hours after exposing eggs to sperm. Subsamples of approximately 100 eggs were taken from each vial and fixed in formalin (12% v/v in seawater). The remaining
developing embryos were maintained at 20°C for 16 h to allow for hatching (Marshall 2002), during which stage they were also fixed in formalin. Fixing eggs and embryos allowed us to estimate fertilization and hatching success accurately without time constraints. Eggs were classed as fertilized if they showed regular cell division. Embryos were classified as successfully hatched when normally developed tadpole larvae emerged. By contrast, unsuccessful hatchings were evident either when they failed to hatch or when hatched offspring exhibited deformities (incomplete development, deformed tails). Although the initial concentration of eggs within each vial was held constant (approx. 1000 eggs per vial), the density of developing embryos varied according to the level of fertilization that occurred within each vial. For data analysis, see electronic supplementary material.

3. RESULTS
Male and female main effects accounted for significant variance both at fertilization and at hatching (table 1). Although non-significant, there was a trend for an interaction at fertilization (table 1). Fertilization was clearly elevated under polyandry, with eggs accessing the sperm of multiple males achieving 33% higher fertilization success on average than eggs that were exposed to sperm of single males (treatment: \( F_{1,151} = 13.8, p < 0.001 \); block: \( F_{1,151} = 0.2, p = 0.675 \); female (block): \( F_{1,151} = 8.7, p < 0.001 \); figure 1a). Unstandardized hatching success (proportion of initial eggs that resulted in viable offspring) was also significantly higher under polyandry (treatment: \( F_{1,150} = 9.3, p = 0.003 \); block: \( F_{1,150} = 7.1, p = 0.009 \); female (block): \( F_{1,151} = 13.4, p < 0.001 \), figure 1b), but this effect was driven by differences in fertilization rates between monandry and polyandry treatments. When hatching success was standardized to allow for differences in fertilization rates between treatments (proportion of fertilized eggs that subsequently hatched into viable larvae), there was no significant difference in hatching rates between treatments (figure 1c; table 2). Nevertheless, standardized hatching success in both treatments was influenced to different extents by the hatching environment into which embryos emerged: hatching success declined with increasing embryo densities (table 2; figure 2), but the effect of embryo density was less severe in eggs that were fertilized under polyandry than monandry, as revealed by the significant treatment \( \times \) embryo density interaction (table 2; figure 2). The estimate of the slope for the relationship between embryo density and hatching success under monandry was much steeper than that for the same relationship under polyandry (monandry slope \( \pm 95\% CI \): \( -2.15 \pm 0.66 \); polyandry slope \( \pm 95\% CI \): \( -0.38 \pm 0.72 \)).

4. DISCUSSION
Our analysis of fertilization and hatching revealed significant additive effects attributable to both males
rates. In other cases, however, the presumed and pre-hatching survival when comparing hatching and do not account for variance in initial fertilization polyandrous clutches focus on egg-laying species reconciles in hatching rates between monandrous and date, however, the majority of studies reporting differences. The discrepancy between the results from unstandardized (raw) and standardized (corrected for variance in fertilization when estimating hatching unstandardized (raw) and standardized (corrected for fertilization rates. Recent advances in applying NCII designs to vertebrate models (fishes), where it is possible to manipulate polyandry experimentally. To date, however, the majority of studies reporting differences in fertilization rates between monandrous and polyandrous clutches focus on egg-laying species and do not account for variance in initial fertilization and pre-hatching survival when comparing fertilization rates. In other cases, however, the presumed indirect genetic benefits of polyandry might actually reflect direct fertilization benefits (as in our study). Our work therefore cautions against interpreting elevated hatching success as an indirect genetic benefit of polyandry when variance in fertilization is not controlled. It also bolsters ongoing arguments stating that hatching success (or paternity estimates derived from hatchlings) may be an unreliable measure of embryo viability (Gilchrist & Partridge 1997; Olsson et al. 1999; García-González & Simmons 2007).

Finally, our finding that standardized hatching success declined with increasing embryo densities, and that the slope of this decline was significantly greater in monandrous than polyandrous clutches, suggests that selection on embryo viability may still favour polyandry under restricted environmental conditions (Figure 2). These context-dependent benefits of polyandry are likely to be biologically relevant in P. stolomifera, where densities of developing embryos vary dramatically in natural populations (Marshall 2002). We can only speculate on the mechanisms underlying these interacting effects of embryo density and mating treatment, although promising avenues for future research include the possibility that under increasingly stressful conditions (competition), higher levels of genetic diversity (as in the polyandry treatment) are favoured (Williams & Mitton 1973).
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